	Case 2:21-cv-02233-WBS-AC Document 3:	23 Filed 05/08/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	00000	
11		
12	DAIRY, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company,	No. 2:21-cv-02233 WBS AC
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.	ORDER RE: REQUEST TO SEAL
15	MILK MOOVEMENT, INC., a foreign	
16	Corporation, and MILK MOOVEMENT, LLC, a Delaware Limited	
17	Liability Company,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	00000	
21	Before the court is Milk Moovement's request to file	
22	under seal portions of its Opposition to plaintiff/counter-	
23	defendant Dairy's Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Counterclaims.	
24	(Docket No. 290.) This is at least the seventh request to seal	
25	the court has had to deal with in this action. The parties	
26	should have become familiar with the court's requirements for	
27	sealing documents by now.	
28	In the present motion Milk Moovement seeks to seal	
	1	

Case 2:21-cv-02233-WBS-AC Document 323 Filed 05/08/23 Page 2 of 2

materials designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		
ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the stipulated protective order		
(Docket Nos. 54 61). As Milk Moovement correctly acknowledges, a		
confidentiality agreement between the parties does not per se		
constitute a compelling reason to seal documents outweighing the		
interests of public disclosure and access. There needs to be an		
independent basis for sealing or redacting a document beyond the		
fact that material is within the purview of a stipulated		
protective order.		

Milk Moovement therefore asks the court that the identified portions of its Opposition be sealed on a provisional basis until the parties have an opportunity to substantiate their designations and make the requisite showing to maintain sealing. The court rejects Milk Moovement's request. If any of the identified portions of the brief would make the requisite showing to maintain sealing, Milk Moovement may bring a renewed request to seal.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Milk Moovement's request to seal portions of its Opposition to Dairy's Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Counterclaims on a provisional basis (Docket No. 290) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

Dated: May 7, 2023

WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE